Model 1 Review

See redrawn model

Similar

* Support business strategy
* All within EA realm
* Inform, enable align

Different

* Driver is Business Strategy
* Solutions
* People skillsets

Difference that makes a difference

* Business Architecture exposes the purpose/Mission
* Link to technology and ops

Model 2 Review

Notes on the model

* TA and BA don’t overlap – no interaction
* Model puts a lot of stress on BPM
* Using a kitchen analogy, BA and TA are the plumbing; BPM is the cooking time/flow, SOPs

Similar

* Consistent framework to proceed – all leverage
* Understand business through abstraction and reusability
* Language – common
* Framework, governance – all require
* All analytical and special

Differences

* BA—what; TP – how to do it
* Strategy (BA) to execution (TA) and glue (BPM) (controls the thermostat)
* Thought process – BA: Business; TA: enabling tool
* People – process – technology
* Separate domains

Relationship

* Data, strategy, tools
* Missing: strategy
* Missing: requirements analyst & management

Model 3

See redrawn model

How well does this model represent the relationship?

* Needs overlap
* Tech Architecture doesn’t have much to do with PBM
* Business Architecture as driver
* Represent perspective Tech/Bus/etc.
* Tech should support the business architecture (be a subset)

Similar

* Peers or equals
* Governed
* Principle based

Differences

* Technical architecture doesn’t define the business but business defines technical architecture
* Technical architecture constrains business
* Tech owns tech arch.
* Business owns bus. Arch.
* Different ways of thinking – skillset/worldview

Exchanges between

* Translation of tech to business and vice versa
* Tech provides automation to business